Reply dated March 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of December 27, 2005

Page 6 of 8

**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 5, 6, and 6-10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated

by Taniguro et al. (USP 6,293,670). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Taniguro discloses, in Figs. 8A and 9, a recording apparatus that includes a platen 34.

The platen 34 forms a face extending from an upstream end of a recording head in a conveyance

direction of a recording sheet P via a bent portion 34a to a slant portion 342 which extends

downward (see col. 8, lines 45-52).

In Taniguro, however, fails to disclose or suggest that the slant portion 342 (corresponds

to the "space" of the claimed invention of the present application) is formed "only at a region

corresponding to a corner portion of the recording medium to-be guided." Accordingly, Taniguro

fails to disclose or suggest the "guide means" as recited in claim 5.

Claim 6 has been amended to include all of the limitations recited in allowable claim 1.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 6 is now in condition for allowance.

Claims 7-9, dependent on claim 6, are allowable at least for their dependency on claim 6.

Claim 10 is allowable at least for the similar reasons as stated in the foregoing with

respect to claim 5.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Application No.: 10/712,094 Docket No.: 1247-0524P

Reply dated March 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of December 27, 2005

Page 7 of 8

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguro

in view of Takagi (JP 1-75050). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 7, dependent on 6, is allowable at least for its dependency on claim 6.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 1-4 are allowable over the

prior art of record.

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and objections, and allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi (#40,417) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

Application No.: 10/712,094 Docket No.: 1247-0524P

Reply dated March 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of December 27, 2005

Page 8 of 8

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: March 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Terrell C. Birch

Registration No.: 19,382

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant